## Noncommuting spherical coordinates

Myron Bander\*

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of California, Irvine, California 92697-4575

(Dated: July 2004)

## Abstract

Restricting the states of a charged particle to the lowest Landau level introduces a noncommutativity between Cartesian coordinate operators. This idea is extended to the motion of a charged particle on a sphere in the presence of a magnetic monopole. Restricting the dynamics to the lowest energy level results in noncommutativity for angular variables and to a definition of a noncommuting spherical product. The values of the commutators of various angular variables are not arbitrary but are restricted by the discrete magnitude of the magnetic monopole charge. An algebra, isomorphic to angular momentum, appears. This algebra is used to define a spherical star product. Solutions are obtained for dynamics in the presence of additional angular dependent potentials.

PACS numbers: 02.40.Gh

<sup>\*</sup> Electronic address: mbander@uci.edu

Noncommutativity between operators corresponding to space coordinates on a plane can be brought about via two, not totally disconnected, procedures. In the first case we replace the ordinary product between two functions by the Moyal star product [1]

$$f(x) \star g(x) = \exp\left(i\frac{\theta^{ab}}{2}\partial_a^{(x)}\partial_b^{(y)}\right)f(x)g(y)\Big|_{y=x}; \tag{1}$$

 $\theta_{ab}$  is an anti-symmetric tensor. The second approach consists of having a particle move on a plane in the presence very strong, constant magnetic field perpendicular to the plane. Letting the ratio of strength the magnetic field to the mass of the particle approach infinity forces the system to lie in the lowest Landau level. Restricting the dynamics to this level permits us to treat one of the planar coordinates as a momentum conjugate to the other one and thus introduce a noncommutativity between coordinate variables [2, 3, 4, 5]. In this work we extend this second approach to motion of particles on a sphere, namely to noncommutativity between angular variables. For this purpose we consider a particle of charge e and mass  $\mu$  moving on a sphere of radius e in the presence of a magnetic field due to a monopole of charge e, the Dirac quantization condition limits e to the values e e where e is an integer. In the northern patch, the one excluding the south pole, the Hamiltonian is [6]

$$H = \frac{1}{2\mu r^2} \left\{ p_{\theta}^2 + \frac{[p_{\phi} - q(1 - \cos\theta)]^2}{\sin^2\theta} \right\}.$$
 (2)

The simple approach would be to consider the above Hamiltonian in the limit  $\mu \to 0$  where we obtain the constraints  $p_{\theta} = 0$  and  $p_{\phi} = q(1 - \cos \theta)$  which in turn would imply the commutator

$$[\cos \theta, \phi] = \frac{i}{q}. \tag{3}$$

In the Cartesian case the right hand side of the above takes on any value inversely proportional to the strength of the applied magnetic field. In the present situation these values are restricted by the discrete possibilities of the magnetic monopole charge. For functions periodic in  $\phi$  this maybe rewritten as

$$\left[\cos\theta, e^{i\phi}\right] = -\frac{e^{i\phi}}{q} \,. \tag{4}$$

Multiplying both sides by  $\sin \theta$  we obtain a commutator of variables well defined on a sphere

$$\left[\cos\theta, \sin\theta e^{i\phi}\right] = -\frac{\sin\theta e^{i\phi}}{q}.$$
 (5)

We shall obtain a version of (5) in a more rigorous way be considering the algebra of spherical harmonics to restricted to the lowest level of (2). Wu and Yang [6, 7] studied this problem extensively and wave functions and their properties are discussed in these references. The eigenvalues of (2) are  $E_{q;l,m} = [l(l+1) - q^2]/(2\mu r^2)$ , with l = |q|, |q| = 1, |q| + 2, ... and  $-l \leq m \leq l$ ; each level is (2l+1) fold degenerate with eigenvalues being the monopole harmonics [8],  $Y_{q;l,m}(\theta,\phi)$ . The lowest eigenvalue,  $E_{q;q,m} = q/(2\mu r^2)$ , is separated by  $2(q+1)/(2\mu r^2)$  from the next level. Thus in the limit  $\mu \to 0$  we may restrict the dynamics to the lowest level with states  $|q;q,m\rangle$ . As most expressions depend on |q| we shall treat the case q > 0

To this end we define a spherical q-product

$$\langle q; q, m_2 | (f(\theta, \phi) \cdot g(\theta, \phi))_q | q; q, m_1 \rangle = \sum_m \langle q; q, m_2 | f(\theta, \phi) | q; q, m \rangle \langle q; q, m | g(\theta, \phi) | | q; q, m_1 \rangle,$$
(6)

where

$$\langle q; q, m' | f(\theta, \phi) | q; q, m \rangle = \int Y_{q;q,m'}^*(\theta, \phi) f(\theta, \phi) Y_{q;q,m}(\theta, \phi) d\Omega.$$
 (7)

Eq. (5) suggests that we look at the matrix elements of  $Y_{1,m}(\theta,\phi)$  in the level l=q. All such expressions may be found in [7].

$$\langle q; q, m_2 | Y_{1,m}(\theta, \phi) | q; q, m_1 \rangle = (-1)^{m_2 + 1 - q} (2q + 1) \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 & q \\ -q & 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 & q \\ -m_2 & m & m_1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (8)$$

where the arrays are Wigner 3j symbols and  $m = m_2 - m_1$ . Explicit expressions for these 3j symbols are readily available [9] yielding

$$\langle q; q, m_2 | Y_{1,m}(\theta, \phi) | q; q, m_1 \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{q+1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \begin{cases} \sqrt{(q+m_2)(q-m_1)} & \text{for } m=1\\ m_1 & \text{for } m=0\\ -\sqrt{(q-m_2)(q+m_1)} & \text{for } m=-1. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Using (6), the q-commutator is defined as

$$[f(\theta,\phi),g(\theta,\phi)]_q = (f(\theta,\phi) \cdot g(\theta,\phi))_q - (g(\theta,\phi) \cdot f(\theta,\phi))_q$$
(10)

we obtain

$$[Y_{1,0}(\theta,\phi), Y_{1,1}(\theta,\phi)]_q = -\frac{1}{q+1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} Y_{1,1}(\theta,\phi), \qquad (11)$$

which agrees with (3) for large q. The q-commutator of  $Y_{1,1}$  with  $Y_{1,-1}$  is

$$[Y_{1,1}(\theta,\phi), Y_{1,-1}(\theta,\phi)]_q = \frac{2}{q+1} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} Y_{1,0}(\theta,\phi).$$
 (12)

From (9) or from (11) and (12) we find that under the spherical q-product the  $Y_{1,m}$ 's form an algebra isomorphic to angular momentum with

$$(q+1)\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_{1,1} \leftrightarrow L_{+},$$

$$(q+1)\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_{1,0} \leftrightarrow -L_{z},$$

$$(q+1)\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_{1,-1} \leftrightarrow -L_{-}$$
(13)

or equivalently,

$$-(q+1)\mathbf{\hat{r}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{L}. \tag{14}$$

In addition to non trivial commutation relations for angular position operators, we would like to obtain a definition of a star product for these variables. Such a star product will agree with the q-product only for commutators but not for simple products [3, 5]. We do require that a star product reduce to an ordinary one when multiplying commuting variables; the q-product does not do that. For Cartesian coordinates the most direct way of obtaining a star product in (1), consistent with  $[r_a, r_b] = i\theta_{ab}$ , is through the Fourier transform. Namely,

$$e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}k_aq_b}e^{i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})\cdot\mathbf{r}}.$$
 (15)

For the angular case, we must modify the product of two spherical harmonics to allow for noncommuting angular variables. To this end we start with an unconventional expression for the coefficient of  $Y_{L,M}$  in the expansion of the product of two spherical harmonic (usually written as a product of to 3j symbols),  $\int Y_{l_1,m_1}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})Y_{l_2,m_2}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})Y_{L,M}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}})d\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ . From the expansion of a plane wave in terms of spherical waves we find

$$Y_{l,m}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{i^{-l}}{4\pi j_l(kr)} \int e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} Y_{l,m}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) d\hat{\mathbf{r}}; \qquad (16)$$

this expression is independent of the magnitudes of  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$ . The previously discussed expansion coefficient becomes

$$\int Y_{l_1,m_1}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})Y_{l_2,m_2}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})Y_{L,M}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}})d\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{i^{-l_1-l_2}}{j_{l_1}(kr)j_{l_2}(qr)} \int e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}}Y_{l_1,m_1}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})Y_{l_2,m_2}(\hat{\mathbf{q}})Y_{L,M}^*(\hat{\mathbf{r}})d\hat{\mathbf{r}}d\hat{\mathbf{k}}d\hat{\mathbf{q}}.$$
(17)

When the components of  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  commute with each other the product of the two exponentials in the above integrals is treated normally. In the noncommuting situation we have to define such

a product to be consistent with the commutators in (11) and (12). Using the correspondence in (14) we may make the replacement

$$\exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}) \to \exp\left(-ir\frac{1}{q+1}\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{L}\right)$$
 (18)

with a similar expression for  $\exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r})$ . The product of the two exponentials is treated as a product of two rotations. The result is then inserted into (17) to obtain the desired coefficients. This time the result depends on the magnitudes k and r indicating that, as in the Cartesian case, different star products will result in the same star commutator.

Following Peierls [10], who studied the problem of a charged particle that, in addition to the strong magnetic field, is acted on by some potential, we can add an angle dependent potential,  $V(\theta, \phi)$  to the present problem. In general, the solution requires the diagonalization od a  $(2q+1) \times (2q+1)$  matrix. In the simple case  $V(\theta, \phi) = \lambda \cos \theta$  the eigenstates are still the  $|q; q, m\rangle$ 's and the corresponding energies are

$$E_{q;q,m} = q/(2\mu r^2) - (-1)^m \frac{\lambda m}{q+1}.$$
 (19)

- [1] J. E. Moyal, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 45, 99 (1949).
- [2] D. Bigatti and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 62, 066004 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908056].
- [3] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 108, 30 (2002) [Phys. Part. Nucl. 33, S6 (2002 LNPHA,616,294-304.2003)] [arXiv:hep-th/0110057].
- [4] R. Jackiw, Annales Henri Poincare 4S2, S913 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212146].
- [5] R. J. Szabo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 1837 (2004) [arXiv:physics/0401142].
- [6] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B **107**, 365 (1976).
- [7] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **16** (1977) 1018.
- [8] Ig. Tamm, Z. Phys. **71**, 141 (1931).
- [9] A. R. Edmonds, Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics (Princeton University Press, Princeton New Jersey, 1968); http://functions.wolfram.com/07.39.03.0007.01.
- [10] R. Peierls, Z. Phys. **80**, 763 (1933).